

pre



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/591,024	06/09/2000	David Alan Burton	TUC92000014US1	3477

24033 7590 08/27/2003

KONRAD RAYNES VICTOR & MANN, LLP  
315 SOUTH BEVERLY DRIVE  
SUITE 210  
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212

EXAMINER

MIRZA, ADNAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2141

3

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/591,024

Applicant(s)

BURTON ET AL.

Examiner

Adnan M Mirza

Art Unit

2141

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 June 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____  |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bare (U.S. 2003/0016624) and Ben-Ami (U.S. 6,301,267).

As per claims 1, 15, 29 Ben-Ami disclosed a method for selecting one of multiple data paths to a device, comprising: selecting one of multiple paths indicated as enabled to transmit data, wherein a path is indicated as enabled or disabled (Page. 3, col. 0030-0032);

However Bare did not disclose in details of gathering transfer time data for each enabled path capable of being selected; and indicating paths as disabled having transfer time data satisfying a threshold, wherein paths indicated as disabled are not capable of being selected to use to transmit data.

In the same field of endeavor Ben-Ami disclosed the step of allocating traffic includes selecting a candidate route for an individual traffic demand, and, if the candidate route includes an occupied segment which includes at least one currently inactive link, searching for a switch which would be blocking at the service level If the inactive link were activated which has an unused active link which, if activated, would cause the switch not be blocking at the service level if the currently inactive link and inactivating the unused active link (col. 3, lines 31-41).

Art Unit: 2141

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated the step of allocating traffic includes selecting a candidate route for an individual traffic demand, and, if the candidate route includes an occupied segment which includes at least one currently inactive link, searching for a switch which would be blocking at the service level. If the inactive link were activated which has an unused active link which, if activated, would cause the switch not be blocking at the service level if the currently inactive link and inactivating the unused active link as taught by Ben-Ami in the method of Bare to reduce latency and overload of the network by eliminating congestion and blockage.

3. As per claims 2,16,30 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed indicating one disabled path as enabled after performing a threshold number of transfer operations (Ben-Ami, col. 34, lines 63-667).

4. As per claims 3,17,31 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed disabling the path for a first threshold number of transfer operations if the path has a transfer data time satisfying a first threshold; and disabling the path for a second threshold number of transfer operations if the path has a transfer data time satisfying a second threshold Ben-Ami, col. 35, lines 1-13).

5. As per claims 4,18,32 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein transfer time data is gathered by path and transfer size, wherein a path is disabled for a given transfer size and wherein one path disabled for one transfer size is capable of being enabled for at least one other transfer size (Bare, Page. 28, lines 0365-0367).

6. As per claims 5,19,33 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein gathering transfer time data further comprises: each enabled path, gathering a cumulative transfer time for all transfer operations during a measurement period through the path and a cumulative number of the transfer operations during the measurement period (Ben-Ami, col. 6, lines 53-67); and for each enabled path determining the average cumulative transfer time for the measurement period by dividing the cumulative time by the cumulative number of transfers, wherein the path is indicated as disabled if the average cumulative transfer time for the path satisfies the threshold (Ben-Ami, col. 35, lines 1-12).

7. As per claims 6,20,34 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein the measurement period comprises a number of transfer operations for all paths, wherein the determination to disable paths occurs after the number of transfer operations in the measurement period has occurred (Ben-Ami, col. 3, lines 31-42), and further comprising starting another measurement period to gather transfer time data after determining paths to disable (col. 35, lines 1-12).

8. As per claims 7,21,35 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein transfer time data is gathered by path and transfer size, and wherein the average cumulative transfer time is calculated for each enabled path and for at least one transfer size (Ben-Ami, col. 35, lines 1-12).

Art Unit: 2141

9. As per claims 8,22,36 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein the measurement period comprises a number of transfer operations for all paths for a transfer size, wherein the determination to disable paths for a transfer size occurs after the number of transfer operations in the measurement period has occurred (Ben-Ami, col. 3, lines 31-42), and further comprising starting another measurement period to gather transfer time data for the transfer size after determining paths to disable for the transfer size (Ben-Ami, col. 35, lines 1-12).

10. As per claims 9,23,37 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein the transfer time is measured from the time the transfer is sent to the device to the time a response is received from the device indicating that the transfer completed, further comprising adding the transfer time for a 4 transfer transmitted down the path to the cumulative transfer time for the path (Ben-Ami, col. 34, lines 36-64).

11. As per claims 10,24,38 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed further comprising: for each enabled path, determining a best average transfer time from the average cumulative transfer times for all paths (Bare, Page. 40, col. 0512), wherein determining whether the average cumulative transfer time for one path satisfies the threshold comprises determining whether the average cumulative transfer time for the path exceeds the best average transfer time by a percentage amount (Ben-Ami, col. 35, lines 1-12).

12. As per claims 11,25,39 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein determining whether the average cumulative transfer time satisfies the threshold further comprises disabling the path for a

Art Unit: 2141

first number of transfer operations if the average cumulative transfer time for the path exceeds the best average transfer time by a first percentage amount and disabling the path for a second number of transfer operations if the average cumulative transfer time for the path exceeds the best average transfer time by a second percentage amount (Ben-Ami, col. 34, lines 64-67 & col. 35, lines 1-12).

13. As per claims 12,26,40 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein the multiple paths comprise multiple paths between a first controller and a second controller, and wherein one path is selected to transmit updates to a primary storage area managed by the first controller to the second controller to store in a secondary storage area (Bare, Page. 18, col. 0239).

14. As per claims 13,27,41 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein transfer time data is gathered by path and a size of the update, wherein a path is disabled for a given update size and wherein the path is capable of being enabled for at least one other update size (Bare, Page. 20, col. 0266).

15. As per claims 14,28,42 Bare and Ben-Ami disclosed wherein the paths extend through a network (Bare, Page. 3, col. 0031-0032).

*Conclusion*

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (703)-305-4633.

17. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dharia Rupal can be reached on (703)-305-4003. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

18. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

19. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:



Art Unit: 2141

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II,  
2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

  
RUPAL DHARIA  
PRIMARY EXAMINER